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Abstract
This article focuses on the effect of corporate governance on pharmaceutical sector of Pakistan. This is the quantitative study and it is based on the nine listed pharmaceutical companies of Pakistan. Seven variables are chosen and only one variable is dependent that is return on asset and other six variables are independent. This study also identifies the importance of corporate governance and how it affects the performance of the firm. It has been observed that only one variable which is Board composition has a significant effect on the performance of pharma sector while the other variables which are Board size, Company size, CEO duality, Independent directors and Dependent directors has no significant effect on the performance of pharma sector. Through tests, it is proved that pharma sector is not giving as much importance to corporate governance which is required from the sector.
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Introduction
Corporate governance plays a vital role in the performance of the firm. Before some years, there was no importance given to this sector but now it is the most important part of the organization. The word “governance” is driven from the Greek word Kybeman, meaning to “Steer, guide or Govern” and the term corporate governance has both narrow and broad definitions. In narrow definition it shows the relationship between managers, directors and shareholders while in broad definition, it is the combination of laws, regulations, listing rules, generate profit and meet both legal and social obligations (Yasser, 2011). The main aim of the corporate governance is to manage the principles and rules of organization which can help the manager to achieve their ultimate objective. The ultimate objective of any organization is to maximize the wealth of shareholders. Manager’s works like an agent and they are appointed by shareholders as an agent so the agency relationship develops automatically. (Gillan, 2006) Stated that boards of directors are the responsible to manage and to run management and they are the main theme of the internal corporate governance.

This article tells about how corporate governance effects on the performance of the firm as the finance is the blood of an organization same as without corporate governance, firm cannot work efficiently. This study is done on the nine listed pharmaceutical companies of Pakistan. These companies are listed on the stock exchange of Pakistan and having well known history in pharma sector. There are seven variables which are used in this study. One variable is dependent and that is ROA (return on asset) and the other six variables are independent variables that are: board composition, board size, CEO duality, company size, dependent directors and independent directors.
There is a gap in the pharmaceutical sector to address this gap, a major pharmaceutical company was chosen to check the effect of corporate governance on performance of the pharmaceutical sector. To address this gap, seven listed pharmaceutical companies are chosen to check whether corporate governance has any effect on the performance or not. The objective of this article is to analyze the effect of corporate governance on the pharmaceutical companies of Pakistan and to check, is the pharmaceutical sector knows about the importance of corporate governance or not.

**Literature Review**

The purpose of this article is to analyze how corporate governance affects the firm's performance. The practice of corporate governance in the firm is giving the competitive edge to the organizations, and it is helping in making a position in the global market. (Mashayekhi & Bazaz, 2008) If corporate governance is stable, it will build business confidence, and the company will stay stable in all types of problems. Many countries believe that good corporate governance will help in improving the economic circumstances. There must be compatibility between the global standards and corporate governance. To survive in the global market, firms have to give importance to corporate governance. It is also mentioned that there is the agency relationship which shows that directors are working as an agent of the shareholders and shareholders are the owner of the organization, and they have the right to ask the performance of the organization and directors accountable in the front of the shareholder. Shareholder held the relationship of principle and principles cover five areas: the rights of shareholders, the equitable treatment of shareholders, the role of stakeholders, disclosure and transparency, and the responsibilities of the board. (John & L., 1998) Stated that it is a mechanism which allocates authority among the employees and also controls the decision-making process in the top management of the firm. (Brown, Robinson, & Caylor, 2007) Corporate governance received great attention due to the case of Enron and other high-profile scandals, it also forces the United States to change their regulations and introduce a strict codified law which is Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Due to this new codified law, the system gets trickier and it just eliminates the maximum chances of fraud. Law increased the cost of doing business, which is ultimately affecting the shareholder return. (Bhagat & Bolton, 2007) There are three alternative ways of understanding the relationship between the performance of companies with strong shareholder rights. First, results could be sample-period specific and second is risk adjustment might not done effectively, and in third the relation between performance and corporate governance might be endogenous raising doubts about the causality explanation. (Baek, Kang, & Park, 2004) Current research reveals the importance of corporate governance in the country and it informed that without following corporate governance, an organization cannot be stable in the market. The difference between the structure of laws and their enforcement and financial market development, that such developments actually satisfy the investor about his investment.

In corporate governance, the board of directors is a critical element in a firm. They have two major functions, first they hire and compensate managers, and the other one function is to advise managers and give them strategic decisions. If directors are performing these functions effectively, so it will increase the effectiveness of board and their decision-making process. (Masulis, Wang, & Xie, 2010) Stated that they are focusing on an interesting class of directors these are foreign independent directors who are not the national of the country where the firm is working. Foreign directors can be less effective due to some reasons, directors who live outside the country they cannot attend meetings frequently so they cannot take the active part in the management and in decision-making process of a firm. They cannot get the quality of information about the organization so it will affect their performance for firm. (Core, Holthausen, & Larcker, 1999) Observed that there is difference between the level of CEO compensation and the quality of firms’ corporate governance, and if the firm has weaker governance structure so it have poorer future performance. The firm with weaker governance cannot sustain in the market because now everyone knows the importance of corporate governance and workers like to work according to the well-defined process. (Crystal, 1991) Argued that board of directors cannot work freely because they are appointed by the CEO and they can be fired by CEO so they cannot take part in any special decision regarding CEO compensation. (Claessens & Fan, 2002) Corporate governance has received attention in recent years because of awareness about the legal procedures to do the task of business. It is stated that increasing volume of research on corporate governance helping people to adopt it and to facilitate the business owners and the stakeholders also. In Asia, the work on corporate governance is increasing day by day and it creating awareness about different concepts related to corporate governance. As we know that woman always face hurdles to achieve the executive seats in the firm. There are very few executive level seats which are covered by women. (Adams & Ferreira, 2008) Stated that this situation is now near to change because mostly board now interested in hiring female more than male because women are more creative and they also deserve executive level seats.

**Theoretical Framework**

**Data and Methodology**

For the purpose of this study, all of the listed pharmaceutical companies of Pakistan were chosen. The companies were identified through the websites of national stock exchange of Pakistan. A total of 9 companies were identified and selected for this study. The annual reports of these companies downloaded from the official websites of the companies. The data is collected for the period 2010-2015. There are seven variables used in this study. One is dependent variable that is return on asset and other six are independent variables that are: composition of board, company size, CEO duality, board size, independent directors and dependent directors.
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These all variables are tested through regression analysis and the results are discussed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return on Assets</td>
<td>A ratio that commonly defined as net income divided by total assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition of Board</td>
<td>It tells about the ratio of independent and dependent directors of the company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Size</td>
<td>It is measured by the total assets of the company.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Findings**

Table 1: Regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.259855</td>
<td>2.863948</td>
<td>-0.090733</td>
<td>0.9281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOARD_COMPOSITION</td>
<td>-0.987706</td>
<td>0.434048</td>
<td>-2.275570</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOARD_SIZE</td>
<td>-0.202050</td>
<td>0.815239</td>
<td>-0.247842</td>
<td>0.8053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO_DUALITY</td>
<td>-0.671795</td>
<td>0.402364</td>
<td>-1.669622</td>
<td>0.1015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPANY_SIZE</td>
<td>-0.145196</td>
<td>0.121277</td>
<td>-1.197226</td>
<td>0.2371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED_S</td>
<td>1.487275</td>
<td>0.860150</td>
<td>1.729088</td>
<td>0.0902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-squared is 0.20 which shows that 20% variation in ROA is explained by board composition, company size, CEO duality and NED’S. F-Value is 0.04 and it shows that the data is good fit.

In this study, dependent variable is ROA. The results show that board composition has negative effect on ROA. If board composition goes up by 1 so ROA will goes down by 0.98. It shows that both variables have negative relationship between each other and relationship is significant. Board size has negative effect on ROA. It shows that both variables have negative relationship between each other and it is not significant because the probability is more than 0.05. If board size increase by 1 so ROA will goes down by 0.20. CEO duality also shows the negative relationship between the variables. If CEO duality increase by 1 so it shows that ROA will goes down by 0.67 and it is also not significant because its probability is 0.10. Company size shows the negative relationship between variables. It is not significant because its probability value is 0.50. NED’S shows positive relationship between variable and it is significant because its probability value is more than 0.05. NED’S shows that 90% variation in ROA is explained by company size, board composition, company size, CEO duality and NED’S. F-Value is 0.04 and it shows that the data is good fit.

The value of board composition is significant and it shows that only board composition has effect on the firm performance and the other variables are not significant and their P-values are more than 0.05 it shows that company size, CEO duality, board size, independent directors and dependent directors has no effect on the performance of pharmaceutical sector.

**Model**

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \beta X_1 + \beta X_2 + \beta X_3 + \beta X_4 + \beta X_5 + \beta X_6 \]

Y = Return on asset
X1= Board Composition
X2= Board Size
X3= CEO Duality
X4= Company Size
X5= Independent Director
X6= Dependent Director
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The greater value of R² shows that the model is good. Researcher is interested in high value of R². In this case the value of R² is 0.204 which shows that 20% variation is return on asset is explained by the board composition, company size, board size, CEO duality, independent directors and dependent directors. The value of R² is moderately low which shows that there is some other variables should be the part of study to increase the explanatory power of the model. The value of R is .45 which shows moderate degree of association between the variables.

ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Regression</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig. Regression</th>
<th>F Change</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig. F Change</th>
<th>Durbin-watson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.461</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>1.631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of F-statistics is 2.461 and the P-value is 0.046 which shows that the model is significant because the P-value is less than 0.05.

Discussion

It can be observed from given results that only one independent variable that is composition of board has significant result which shows that only this variable has effect on the performance firm while other variables which are: company size, board size, CEO duality, independent variable and dependent variable has insignificant values which means that they don’t have any effect on the performance of pharmaceutical sector. The data is collected from the annual reports of the listed pharma companies. The data is downloaded from the official websites of the pharmaceutical companies and it is taken from the year 2010-2015. This whole study is done to check the effect of corporate governance on the performance of firm but the results shows that variables don’t have effect on the performance of firm.

Pharmaceutical companies playing a vital role in the well-being of the society and as we all knows that corporate governance also has significant importance. This is why I choses pharma sector to check whether the performance of this sector is effecting by corporate governance or not. But results show that there is less significant importance of corporate governance in pharma sector. CEO duality is the big factor in the corporate governance. It guides that there must be difference between the chairman and chief executive so the decision making process will get more refined and flawless because it will be under the supervision of two big authorities but in pharma sector mostly firms are not giving much importance to this factor. In some pharma companies there is only one person is appointed in two designations which is also the alarming situation for them.

For the future development there is need to improve the corporate governance and it is also proven that good corporate governance can attract foreign investors (Rajput & Bharti, 2015). Pharmaceutical sector should give importance to the corporate governance so it can help investors also to invest their money in this sector, otherwise investors will never get attract from this sector and may be in future pharma sector face some difficulties in development.

Conclusion

The aim of this article is to analyze the effect of corporate governance on the pharmaceutical sectors’ performance of Pakistan. To analyze this, different tests are applied on the nine listed pharma companies of Pakistan but the final results proven that pharma sector should give attention towards the corporate governance otherwise in future maybe they don’t get as much development as other sectors will get due to following the principles of corporate governance. This study is done on the latest data of pharma sector and it shows that yet they are not able to understand the importance of corporate governance in firm performance.
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