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Abstract
Corporate governance is one of the major topics under discussion from the last two decades. The knowledge is increasing with every passing day as new scandal keeps appearing in the financial world and so are the weaknesses in the CG mechanisms. This study aims to find a relationship between two major elements of CG mechanisms including (board size & composition and audit committee) and firm performance (ROE and profit margin). The sample size consists of 33 chemical companies listed on the Karachi stock exchange from 2005 to 2015. The results show a positive relationship between the variables tested in the study. The results also confirm that the theoretical notion of firms with good financial results and performance are those with better corporate governance practices.
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Introduction
The necessity for corporate governance arises due to the segregation of management from ownership in the modern corporation. The ‘principal-agent’ issues arise in the course of management activities for which shareholders can have serious concerns. These agency issues can only be negotiated by applying practices of good corporate governance. CG describes the relationship between so many stakeholders like management, BoD, shareholders (majority and minority), and stakeholders. Publication of the SECP CG Code 2017 for publicly listed companies has really facilitated the research area in this corporate sector.

CG mechanisms definitions are varied and far fetched. Some described them as economic and legal institutions (Shleifer and Vishny, 1997), while others describe them as rules and regulations that are altered through the legal and political procedures. Regardless of the definitions, there have been always a need for the change and to reform the CG mechanism. Reforms have been continual and seen across the globe. As the paradigms have shifted from the pure agency bases management and shareholders primacy theories towards more stakeholder and society based approaches such as the Stewardship and stakeholders theory, the reforms somehow followed. Corporate performance is an important aspect that actually describes a concept that how well an organization utilizes its resources available in either form to achieve the ultimate objective of the organization (the maximization of shareholders wealth) which puts the organization in business and creates a greater prospect for future potential opportunities. Better CG means better utilising of company resources which include the capital. CG hence helps in generating the inflow of financial capital in any economy. For the developing countries, the capital is relatively harder to get for their economic development. Poor legal and judicial systems are some of the reasons to blame. Another reason is not to comply with the international CG approaches. There are two important international bodies involved in the development and reforms of the CG mechanism across the borders. One is the International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) and the other is Organisation for Economic Corporations and development (OECD).

The OECD explained in their report that proper mechanism of corporate governance lead firms to confidence of local and international investors. Specifically in case of international investors who give high weightage to those firms which provide fair and transparent disclosures. In Pakistan SECP has also developed code of governance in early 2017 to bridge gap between investors and
management of a company. Numerous recommendations are included in the code to meet international standards. The major area covered in the codes are about the reforms relating to the directors to make them accountable for their actions. The other key element has been the effectiveness of the audit function in the listed companies.

The main concern of this study is to analyze the relationship between corporate performance and corporate governance for publicly listed chemical companies in Pakistan. In Pakistan corporate governance is characterized, in contrast to developed markets by being more dependent on large shareholdings by the wealthy and politically influential investors. To attract investments from local smaller investors and foreign institutional investors, CG has to be improved.

The contribution made by this study to the literature about the functions of corporate governance in increasing the performance and governance of chemical firms listed in. Since corporate governance contributes a vital role to provide distinguishing feature and helping a company in getting competitive advantage, hence their effect is tested. Secondly, study is purely empirical-based and data is collected particularly from manufacturing-based industry and can be generalized over other via results of these findings. Thus the views of Lane et al. (2006) are also challenged in which it is criticized that corporate governance can create the organizational value of new knowledge.

**Literature Review**

Corporate Governance is being defined in various ways. The variations in the definitions are due to the academic backgrounds and perspectives. Nonetheless, La Porta, et al. (2000) explained that purpose of corporate governance is to protect investors from possible manipulation caused by insiders due to sensitive information they have. Mostly in any company, these insiders include Board of Directors and those shareholders who have access to information which other do not have. Omran (2001) gave the view that corporate governance are set of laws that regulate relationship between management and investors of a company. Other writers like Cochran and Warwick (1988) explained that corporate governance are rules through which conflicts among different stakeholders of a company can be resolved. These stakeholder’s may include top management, shareholders, and creditors. This setup saves the corporations from misuse of the powers and encourages better decision making by the BoD. (CIPE, 2002). The term CG can be applied to both private and public institutes; however, in the scope of this study only the private organisations are concerned. The Corporate governance institutes may include both of them, again, in the context of Pakistan it is only public institutions. And Pakistan CG mechanisms include the company law, Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) laws and codes; the guidelines from state bank of Pakistan and the recommendations provided by Institute of Chartered Accountants of Pakistan (ICAP). Researchers in other countries have thus defined CG as “Corporate laws, securities regulations and the county related ethics” (Omran, 2004).

**Board Size:**

This issue has attracted varying views. Some are in favor of a large board while finding it not relevant larger board attracts a bigger pool of knowledgeable experience while is vital in shareholder wealth maximization (Pfeffer, 1972). While others specify the small board size as more effective because of the quality of opinion and control because large contradictions in thinking are avoided among directors. According to Lipton and Lorsch (1992) that for top management it is easy to manipulate the company’s performance through large board size. Moreover, for large board size, it is difficult to challenge those policies which are not in favor of shareholders. Different reports suggested that small board size can enhance the implementation of corporate governance rules in the best interest of shareholders. These reports include the Hampel Report (1998), the Malaysian Code on Corporate Governance (2000, Revised 2007), and Saudi Code of Corporate Governance (2006). Similarly, in many studies, it is revealed that board size and firm performance have significant association. (e.g. Yermack, 1996; Vafeas, 2000; Byard, Lin, and Weintrop, 2006). From the above discussion, it can be said that appropriate board size can enhance the performance of a firm. Therefore we can hypothesize that

H1: Appropriate board size will lead to higher performance (high ROE and Profit Margin) for the firm

**Board Composition:**

Enhanced independence of a director, according to Young (2003) is intuitively appealing because a director in direct relation with CEO or other top management would find it more difficult to mitigate the excessive salary package, or bring more skepticism necessary for effective control. Some studies find boards of directors dominated by outsiders shows better performances for firms (see Weibach 1988, Resenstein and Wyatt, 1990 Mehran, 1995 and John and Senbet 1998), while Weir and Laing (2001) and Pinteris (2002) relates accounting profit or firm value in not such relationship. Also, Forsberg (1989) find no relationship between various performance measures. And the proportion of outside directors, Studies using financial statement data and Tobin’s Q find no link between firm performance and independence of board while others who used stock returns data finds a positive relationship. I Based on the fact presented above, appropriate selection and composition of board will lead to high performance for the firm hence we hypothesis the followings

H2: Appropriate board composition will lead to higher performance (high ROE and Profit Margin) for the firm

**Audit Committee:**

The formation of an audit committee is becoming the requirement for many companies listed in stock market. Members of an Audit Committee are selected from the Board of Directors who are responsible for proper implementation of internal control procedures and to make sure true and fair financial reporting and disclosures. These audit committees are basically formed to have enough knowledge necessary to perform their responsibilities in a competitive manner.

Klein (2002) found that earnings management and independent audit committee had a negative relationship. Anderson, Mansi and Reeb (2004) concluded that lower debt financing costs there must be an independent audit committee. Based on these findings we create third hypothesis for our review in this study;

H3: Presence of audit committee will lead to higher performance (high ROE and Profit Margin) for the firm.

**Research Methodology**

**Research Framework**

The study tries to examine the linkage/relationship between corporate governance and performance of the organization of chemical industry firms. The proposed hypothesis and research framework developed is depicted below;

**Sampling and Measurement**

There are thousands of small and big chemical factories, trading firms and manufacturing units. The overall population of the chemicals industry in Pakistan includes local & foreign development and industrial firms/units. Their network is segregated across the country. Due to the resources and time constraints, it was not possible to cover the country. So for fulfilling the requirement of this study we have selected only 33 units/chemical companies which are listed on Karachi Stock exchange. These units are working under different capacities including manufacturing of end-user products or preparation of buyer to buy product. They are also involved in the import and export of these products as well. Out of the total population of more than 100 (SMEDA) chemical firms at present in the country, a total sample of 33 firms were
selected. The sample includes representation from all the brands and it was assured that the firm was listed in KSE from at least five years ago. The data was collected from different secondary sources including websites of Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP), Small and Medium Enterprise Development Authority (SMEDA), State Bank of Pakistan (SBP), Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE), different reports, business newspapers and other related materials of past research.

**Independent Variable**

**Corporate Governance**

To measure the governance of firm, evaluating mechanism is used to examine the rigor of a set of governance practices that covers various governance categories: such as board composition & size, and audit committee.

**Dependent Variables**

In this study, three measures of performance are used which are Tobin Q, Return on Equity (ROE), and Profit Margin (PM). Tobin Q is the ratio of the market value of capital employed (equity and debt) and the cost of all assets. ROE is calculated as net profit as percentage of shareholder’s equity. PM is percentage of net profit with respect to sales.

**Control Variables**

The firm size plays an effective and impactable role by increasing the firm’s ability and methodology to tackle issues better. The increased number of employees and high technology and IT equipment availability also enhances their ability to induce better practices. Also working in a number of years increases the capacity to work in a team environment. The variable can impact on the dependent variables in positive ways. Therefore, within the scope of this study subject variable is held constant. To account for demographic and socioeconomic factors (Kim, Aldrich, & Keister, 2006), we included controls for Firm size was included and for the fact that larger ventures may have better chances of survival (Wenneberg, Delmar & McKelvie, 2016). Guided by existing research, other control variables theorized to influence corporate governance we used demographic factors and socioeconomic (Kim, Aldrich, & Keister, 2006), we considered controls for: education, measured as the total years of schooling; promotion, measured as the number of years since promoted.

**Results**

In this study impact of corporate governance on performance of a firm is analysed. Three measures are used to calculate firm performance which are Tobin Q, ROE, and PM. Results of the study had confirmed that corporate governance practices significantly impact performance of a firm. As previous studies had also shown same findings for developed and developing markets (La Porta, et al., 2002; Droletz, et al. 2004). So similar situation is also exist in Pakistan.

In this study 33 listed firms were selected from Chemical Industry of Pakistan. For these 33 firms average board size were 9 out of which 7 directors were from outside of a firm. In 86% of companies post of Chief Executive and Board Chairman were not hold by a single person but in remaining companies these two posts are hold by a same person.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. #</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Firm Size</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>.441</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>.501</td>
<td>.323</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Board Size</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>-.060</td>
<td>-.204</td>
<td>.205</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Board Comp.</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>-.244</td>
<td>-.473</td>
<td>.150</td>
<td>.216</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Audit Commit</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>.610</td>
<td>.161</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.129</td>
<td>-.003</td>
<td>.121</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>.899</td>
<td>.130</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.134</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.241</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Profit Margin</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.106</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td>.123</td>
<td>.127</td>
<td>.389</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Descriptive Statistics**

**Table 2: Correlation Matrix**

In the above Table 2, we can see that significant positive correlation exist among ROE and all measures of corporate governance except for audit committee where negative and insignificant correlation exist. Same relationship is found among PM and corporate governance where again only audit committee found to have insignificant relationship with performance of a firm (PM).

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Corporate governance is a mechanism to ensure that the wealth of the investors is safe. Safer investments will be matched with a lesser rate of return. This concludes that that capital will be attracted by the low-risk countries, even they offer less return. Investors might seek to take the risk for an increased return on their capital, however, they will do it rationally. Poor CG practices lead the way for the flight of the capital, All enterprises suffer the consequences in that country regardless of how steady a particular company’s practices may be. Hence the stronger CG practices are required by the investors to provide capital.

Results of our study had shown that board composition had significant relationship with board composition. In our case representation of independent and outside directors in the board was 7 out of 9 on average which confirm that independency of board is helpful to counter malafide practices which in long term is translated into improve performance. These findings are similar to results found...
by Forsberg (1989), Weisbach (1991), Bhagat and Black (2002), Klapper and Love (2004), and Sandra et al. (2011). Findings of this study are helpful for authorities and management of companies. SECP and Pakistan Stock Exchange can make sure proper implementation of corporate governance rules in companies listed. Through proper implementation management of a company may be refrain from manipulating of information and can work in the best interest of shareholders. Similarly shareholders may force top management of a company to follow corporate governance rules in order to safeguard their interest.

**Limitations of the Study**

Some of the notable limitations of the study conducted are given below;

- Since the research was conducted using secondary data tool, the figures and values provided the data are considered to have chances of error and omissions. It might be possible that the person may be biased in answering in some manner as they may be close to reporting authority.
- The overall population of the research was very large and represent less than 10% of the total number of the firm in Pakistan. Therefore the results of the study cannot be generalized over the wide range of industries.
- The research is conducted in the chemical sector of the country. It might be possible that results may differ in the other sectors of the country. Also, the sample size of the study is not very large.

**Future Scope**

Based on the study conducted above, researchers and scholars can extend the paradigm of this area in the future on the followings;

- The work can be carried out on the other sector of the industry, including education, manufacturing, hospitals, and others. Also, instead of a panel, the cross-sectional and longitudinal methodology can be adopted in order to support further empirical evidence on this topic and variables.
- Both variables have a high depth of literature, and much work is done on the topics. However, they are still not tested together before this study. In the future, distant relationships can be checked by applying a variety of theories and knowledge backing these variables in the literature that will further improve the existing body of knowledge for industry and well academic researchers.
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